

I wrote this letter in November 2016 about the CDC's proposed rule that would expand police powers to forcibly detain, isolate, vaccinate and quarantine citizens. The month before that, I submitted my comment about the proposed rule before the October 14th deadline, and you can see it on the regulations.gov web site. I sent the letter that you see below to four people: my U.S. Representative from Wyoming, the new U.S. Representative-elect who won the 2016 election, and to the two U.S. Senators from Wyoming. I received responses from all except the Representative-elect. If you care to find out what the responses were, you may contact me by email. spearce@vcn.com ~Susan Pearce, Wyoming

November 20, 2016

Dear

In mid-August 2016 the Centers For Disease Control (CDC) published a proposed rule, asking for the public's comments. The rule should be discarded rather than trying to amend it, because there are so many parts of it that violate human rights that are protected by the U.S. Constitution and by international documents such as the Nuremberg Code and the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

As a resident of Buffalo, Wyoming, and as a co-founder of *Wyoming Vaccine Information Network*, a statewide group that was founded in April 2001, I am asking for your assistance. The proposed rule would expand police powers to forcibly detain, isolate, vaccinate and quarantine citizens. When you go to <https://www.regulations.gov/docket?D=CDC-2016-0068> you will see that over 15,000 comments were received before the deadline. I've read many of them and have only seen comments that show how shocked people are by the power-grab being proposed by the CDC.

I need you to insist that the CDC withdraw this proposed rule for these reasons:

It is an obvious violation of civil liberties because U.S. health officials would be allowed to hold a person in custody for 72 hours. The person wouldn't have a right to contact an attorney in order to appeal the detention. People being detained could be asked to sign a contract with the CDC giving consent to "public health measures" that would be applied to the adult or a minor child. These measures may include "quarantine, isolation, conditional release, medical examination, hospitalization, vaccination, and treatment." The rule actually says: "the individual's consent shall not be considered as a prerequisite

to the exercise of any authority under this part." The "authority" that this speaks of is that of the CDC. After the detained person is released, the person could be electronically tracked and monitored, which could include electronic tracking devices that are attached to his body. The wording in the plan calls it "electronic and internet-based monitoring." Section 71.1 gives a definition of such monitoring.

The proposed rule would allow the federal government to subject individuals (and organizations, which are not defined) to fines and/or jail. This applies to people who violate the public health agreement that the person signed in order to be released from custody of the HHS/CDC authorities. See Section 70.19 Penalties.

This rule would be a serious example of government overreach. Laws at the federal and the state levels of government already address the control of outbreaks of serious communicable diseases. Similar rules to this new rule have been proposed in the past but they had to be withdrawn due to concerns about violation of civil liberties and the cost of implementing the rules. The wording of the proposed rule is vague, which gives the authorities too much power. Their power should be very limited and would be if the people writing the proposed rule cared about the rights granted in the U.S. (and in state) Constitution(s), in the Nuremberg Code, and in the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

The illnesses that the proposed rule lists in the "ILL PERSON" section are defined too broadly. It states that the "presence of skin rash, along with fever, may indicate that the traveler has measles, rubella (German measles), varicella (chickenpox), meningococcal disease, or smallpox."

The definitions listed in that "ILL PERSON" section are too subjective, which means they will cause non-medically trained people to detain travelers whose signs and symptoms are nothing but a skin rash, acne, eczema, hives, or severe allergies. A low fever could be misinterpreted, when it is nothing but a cold or other mild illness. As www.webmd.com says, "High fevers are not comfortable, but they rarely cause serious problems." Chickenpox isn't the same as smallpox and measles isn't the same as Ebola.

Vaccines would be given to people without their informed consent. Vaccines have been the cause of the very same diseases that they are supposed to prevent. See the press release called "Studies Show that Vaccinated Individuals Spread Disease: Should the Recently Vaccinated be Quarantined to Prevent

Outbreaks?" at <http://www.westonaprice.org/press/studies-show-that-vaccinated-individuals-spread-disease/>.

Vaccines cause serious illnesses such as autoimmune disorders, which are much more debilitating than those the vaccines are supposed to prevent. See the 2015 book, called *Vaccines & Autoimmunity*, by these three editors: Yehuda Shoenfeld, Nancy Agmon-Levin, and Lucija Tomljenovic. One subject that this excellent book discusses is studies that show that vaccine adjuvants such as aluminum cause autoimmune disorders in genetically-susceptible individuals. From the book's back cover, we read: "The final section covers diseases in which vaccines were known to be the solicitor – for instance, systemic lupus erythematosus... ."

Did you know that the CDC promotes vaccines but they are a primary source of research on the vaccines? This is a clear example of conflict of interest. " 'The CDC currently spends over \$4 billion purchasing vaccines [annually] from drug makers...' (Health Impact News, October 24, 2016)" <http://www.robertscottbell.com/government/cdc-vaccine-science-covers-up-giant-conflict-of-interest-by-jon-rappoport/> Of course the CDC isn't going to do anything to harm its connection to a very lucrative vaccine industry, so they should not be trusted to be honest about their vaccine studies on effectiveness and safety.

Recently, CDC whistleblowers have revealed unethical behavior within the CDC, as seen in this October 2016 article about a letter written by some of the whistleblowers. <http://www.globalfreedommovement.org/the-biggest-medical-whistleblower-event-in-history-just-happened/> Please read the article and see the actual whistleblower letter. Isn't it clear that the unethical behavior of some CDC employees might be a big reason for the CDC proposing this new rule? It would certainly increase profits for the pharmaceutical industry.

An April 2016 article called "CDC Admits Flu Shots Fail Half the Time" explains that flu vaccines are largely ineffective. It states: "In January 2016, U.S. government officials finally publicly admitted that flu vaccines are only 50 to 60 percent effective at preventing lab confirmed influenza requiring medical care in most years." Footnote 27 ["27 CDC. Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness: How Well Does the Flu Vaccine Work? Dec. 21, 2015." <http://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/qa/vaccineeffect.htm>] <http://vaccineimpact.com/2016/cdc-admits-flu-shots-fail-half-the-time/>

The article continues, "In fact, a CDC analysis of flu vaccine effectiveness for the past decade – from 2005 to 2015 – demonstrated that more than half the

time, seasonal flu shots are *less* than 50 percent effective!"

<http://vaccineimpact.com/2016/cdc-admits-flu-shots-fail-half-the-time/> Even though the flu vaccine is so ineffective, it is one of the vaccines that the CDC wants to be allowed to give without true informed consent, in the new proposed rule.

The same 2016 article then talks about the flu vaccine's possible adverse reactions: "...influenza vaccine reactions causing inflammation of the nerves, known as Guillain Barre Syndrome, and other chronic health problems are the number one most compensated vaccine injuries for adults in the federal vaccine injury compensation program,..." Footnote 38 ["38 Wrangham T. Vaccine Injury Claims Expected to Increase in 2016: Federal Advisory Committee Update. NVIC Newsletter Feb. 22, 2016."] <http://vaccineimpact.com/2016/cdc-admits-flu-shots-fail-half-the-time/>

The CDC is notorious for scaring the public about swine flu, ZIKA, Ebola, and other epidemics/pandemics. "The CDC, you may recall, was instrumental in pushing the false swine flu pandemic scare and encouraging governments to order billions of dollars worth of vaccines from drug companies." <http://www.truthwiki.org/dr-julie-gerberding/>

This CDC proposed rule has to be withdrawn. I need your assistance and I look forward to receiving a response from you regarding this important issue.

Sincerely yours,

Susan Pearce